

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR ALTERNATING KUREPA'S FUNCTION

Branko J. Malešević

In this paper we consider alternating Kurepa's function $A(z)$ [4]. We give some recurrent relations for alternating Kurepa's function via appropriate sequences of rational functions and gamma function. Also we give some inequalities for the real part of alternating Kurepa's function $A(x)$ for values of argument $x > -2$. The obtained results are analogous to results from [5].

1. Alternating Kurepa's function $A(z)$

R. GUY considered, in the book [3] (p. 100.), the function of alternating left factorial $A(n)$ as an alternating sum of factorials $A(n) = n! - (n-1)! + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}1!$. Let us use the standard notation:

$$(1) \quad A(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} i!.$$

Sum (1) corresponds to the sequence A005165 in [6]. An analytical extension of the function (1) over the set of complex numbers is determined by the integral:

$$(2) \quad A(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^{z+1} - (-1)^z t}{t+1} dt,$$

which converges for $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ [4]. For function $A(z)$ we use the term *alternating Kurepa's function*. It is easily verified that alternating Kurepa's function is a solution of the functional equation:

$$(3) \quad A(z) + A(z-1) = \Gamma(z+1).$$

Let us observe that since $A(z-1) = \Gamma(z+1) - A(z)$, it is possible to make the analytical continuation of alternating Kurepa's function $A(z)$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$. In that way, the alternating Kurepa's function $A(z)$ is a meromorphic function with simple poles at $z = -n$ ($n \geq 2$) [4].

Let us emphasize that in the following consideration, in the sections **2.** and **3.**, it is sufficient to use only fact that function $A(z)$ is a solution of the functional equation (3). In section **4.** we give some inequalities for the real part of alternating Kurepa's function $A(x)$ for values of argument $x > -2$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

Research partially supported by the MNTRS, Serbia & Montenegro, Grant No. 1861.

2. Representation of the alternating Kurepa's function via sequences of polynomials and gamma function

Let us introduce a sequences of polynomials:

$$(4) \quad p_n(z) = (z - n + 1)p_{n-1}(z) + (-1)^n,$$

with initial member $p_0(z) = 1$. Analogously to results from [2], the following statements are true:

Lemma 2.1 *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{C}$ we have explicitly:*

$$(5) \quad p_n(z) = (-1)^n \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-1} (z - n + i + 1) \right).$$

Theorem 2.2 *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (\mathbf{Z}^- \cup \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\})$ is valid:*

$$(6) \quad A(z) = (-1)^n A(z - n) + p_{n-1}(z) \cdot \Gamma(z - n + 2).$$

3. Representation of the alternating Kurepa's function via sequences of rational functions and gamma function

Let us observe that on the basis of a functional equation for the gamma function $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$, it follows that the alternating Kurepa's function is solution of the following functional equation:

$$(7) \quad A(z + 1) - zA(z) - (z + 1)A(z - 1) = 0.$$

For $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1\}$, based on (7), we have:

$$(8) \quad A(z - 1) = -\frac{z}{z + 1}A(z) + \frac{1}{z + 1}A(z + 1) = q_1(z)A(z) - r_1(z)A(z + 1),$$

for rational functions $q_1(z) = -\frac{z}{z + 1}$, $r_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z + 1}$ over $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1\}$. Next, for $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$, based on (7), we obtain:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} A(z - 2) &= \frac{1}{z}A(z) - \frac{z - 1}{z}A(z - 1) \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} \frac{1}{z}A(z) - \frac{z - 1}{z} \left(-\frac{z}{z + 1}A(z) + \frac{1}{z + 1}A(z + 1) \right) \\ &= \frac{z^2 + 1}{z(z + 1)}A(z) - \frac{z - 1}{z(z + 1)}A(z + 1) = q_2(z)A(z) - r_2(z)A(z + 1), \end{aligned}$$

Letters p , q , r , g are printed in the funny italic $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}$ font.

for rational functions $q_2(z) = \frac{z^2+1}{z(z+1)}$, $r_2(z) = \frac{z-1}{z(z+1)}$ over $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$. Thus, for values $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$, based on (7), by mathematical induction it is true:

$$(10) \quad A(z-n) = q_n(z)A(z) - r_n(z)A(z+1),$$

for rational functions $q_n(z)$, $r_n(z)$ over $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$ which fulfill the same recurrent relations:

$$(11) \quad q_n(z) = -\frac{z-n+1}{z-n+2}q_{n-1}(z) + \frac{1}{z-n+2}q_{n-2}(z)$$

and

$$(12) \quad r_n(z) = -\frac{z-n+1}{z-n+2}r_{n-1}(z) + \frac{1}{z-n+2}r_{n-2}(z),$$

with different initial functions $q_{1,2}(z)$ and $r_{1,2}(z)$.

Based on the previous consideration we can conclude:

Lemma 3.1 *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$ let the rational function $q_n(z)$ be determined by the recurrent relation (11) with initial functions $q_1(z) = -\frac{z}{z+1}$ and $q_2(z) = \frac{z^2+1}{z(z+1)}$. Thus the sequences $q_n(z)$ has an explicit form:*

$$(13) \quad q_n(z) = (-1)^n \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{(-1)^j}{z+2-i} \right).$$

Lemma 3.2 *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$ let the rational function $r_n(z)$ be determined by the recurrent relation (12) with initial functions $r_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z+1}$ and $r_2(z) = \frac{z-1}{z(z+1)}$. Thus the sequences $r_n(z)$ has an explicit form:*

$$(14) \quad r_n(z) = (-1)^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{(-1)^j}{z+2-i} \right).$$

Theorem 3.3 *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$ we have:*

$$(15) \quad A(z) = (-1)^n \left(A(z-n) + ((-1)^n - q_n(z)) \cdot \Gamma(z+2) \right)$$

and

$$(16) \quad A(z) = (-1)^n \left(A(z-n) + r_n(z) \cdot \Gamma(z+2) \right).$$

4. Some inequalities for the real part of alternating Kurepa's function

In this section we consider alternating Kurepa's function $A(x)$, given by an integral representation (2), for values of argument $x > -2$. The real and imaginary parts of the function $A(x)$ are represented by:

$$(17) \quad \operatorname{Re} A(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^{x+1} - \cos(\pi x) t}{t+1} dt$$

and

$$(18) \quad \operatorname{Im} A(x) = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{\sin(\pi x) t}{t+1} dt.$$

In this section we give some inequalities for the real part of alternating Kurepa's function $A(x)$ for values of argument $x > -2$. The following statements are true:

Lemma 4.1 *The function:*

$$(19) \quad \beta(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^{x+1}}{t+1} dt,$$

over set $(-2, \infty)$ is positive, convex and fulfill an inequality:

$$(20) \quad \beta(x) \geq \beta(x_0) = 0.401\,855 \dots,$$

with equality in the point $x_0 = -0.108\,057 \dots$.

Proof. For positive function $\beta(x) \in C^2(-2, \infty)$, on the basis of (19), the condition of convexity $\beta''(x) > 0$ is true. Next, based on (19), we can conclude $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \beta(-2 + \varepsilon) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(x) = +\infty$. Therefore, we can conclude that exists exactly one minimum $x_0 \in (-2, +\infty)$. Using standard numerical methods it is easily determined $x_0 = -0.108\,057 \dots$ and $\beta(x_0) = 0.401\,855 \dots$. ■

Lemma 4.2 *The function:*

$$(21) \quad \gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{\cos(\pi x) t}{t+1} dt,$$

over set $(-2, \infty)$, is determined with:

$$(22) \quad \gamma(x) = (1 + e \operatorname{Ei}(-1)) \cdot \cos(\pi x) = 0.403\,652 \dots \cdot \cos(\pi x).$$

where $\operatorname{Ei}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{e^u}{u} du$ ($t < 0$) is function of exponential integral ([1], 8.211-1).

Lemma 4.3 *The function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$, over set $(-2, \infty)$, is determined as difference:*

$$(23) \quad \operatorname{Re} A(x) = \beta(x) - \gamma(x).$$

and has two roots $x_1 = -0.015401 \dots$ and $x_2 = 0$. The function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$ is positive over set:

$$(24) \quad D_1 = (-2, x_1) \cup (0, \infty)$$

and negative over set:

$$(25) \quad D_2 = (x_1, 0).$$

Proof. Let $\beta(x)$ be function from lemma 4.1 and let $\gamma(x)$ be function from lemma 4.2. For value $x_2 = 0$ it is true $\beta(x_2) = \gamma(x_2) = 0.403652 \dots$, ie. value $x_2 = 0$ is a root of function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$. Let us prove that function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$ has exactly one root $x_1 \in (x_0, x_2)$, where $x_0 = -0.108057 \dots$ is value from lemma 4.1. It is true $\beta(x_0) = 0.401855 \dots > 0.380061 \dots = \gamma(x_0)$. Let us notice that $\beta(x)$ is convex and increasing function over set (x_0, x_2) and let us notice that $\gamma(x)$ is concave and increasing function over same set (x_0, x_2) . Therefore, we can conclude that function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$ has exactly one root $x_1 \in (x_0, x_2)$. Using numerical methods we can determined $x_1 = -0.015401 \dots$. On the basis of the graphs of the functions $\beta(x)$ and $\gamma(x)$ we can conclude that function $\operatorname{Re} A(x)$ has exactly two roots x_1 and x_2 over set $(-2, \infty)$. Hence, the sets D_1 and D_2 are correctly determined. ■

Lemma 4.4 *For $x \in (-1, 1 + x_1] \cup [1, \infty)$ it is true:*

$$(26) \quad \Gamma(x+1) \geq \operatorname{Re} A(x),$$

while the equality is true for $x = 1 + x_1$ or $x = 1$.

Proof. For $x > -1$ it is true:

$$(27) \quad \Gamma(x+1) \geq \operatorname{Re} A(x) = \Gamma(x+1) - \operatorname{Re} A(x-1) \iff \operatorname{Re} A(x-1) \geq 0.$$

Right side of the previous equivalence is true for $x-1 \in (-2, x_1] \cup [0, \infty)$, ie. $x \in (-1, 1 + x_1] \cup [1, \infty)$. ■

In the following considerations let us denote $\mathbf{E}_a = (a, a+2+x_1] \cup [a+2, \infty)$ for fixed $a \geq -1$.

Corollary 4.5 *For fixed $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and values $x \in \mathbf{E}_k$ following inequality is true:*

$$(28) \quad \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-k-1)}{\Gamma(x-k)} \leq 1,$$

while the equality is true for $x = k+2+x_1$ or $x = k+2$.

In the next two proofs of theorems which follows we use the auxiliary sequences of functions:

$$(29) \quad g_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k+i} \Gamma(x+1-i) \quad (k \in \mathbf{N}),$$

for values $x > k-2$. Let us notice that for $x > k-2$ it is true:

$$(30) \quad g_k(x) = \Gamma(x+2) \cdot r_k(x).$$

Then, the following statements are true:

Theorem 4.6 *For fixed odd number $k = 2n+1 \in \mathbf{N}$ and values $x \geq k+1$ the following double inequality is true:*

$$(31) \quad \frac{p_k(x)}{p_k(x)+1} \cdot (-r_k(x)) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{\Gamma(x+2)} < (-r_k(x)),$$

while the equality is true for $x = k+1$.

Proof. Based on lemma 4.3, using theorem 3.3, the following inequality is true:

$$(32) \quad \operatorname{Re} A(x) \leq -g_{2n+1}(x),$$

for values $x \in \mathbf{E}_{k-2}$. On the other hand, based on (28), for values $x \in \mathbf{E}_{k-1}$ we can conclude:

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{g_{2n+1}(x)} &= -1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n-1)}{g_{2n+1}(x)} = -1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n-1)}{\Gamma(x-2n)(p_{2n+1}(x)+1)} \\ &= -1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n-1)/\Gamma(x-2n)}{p_{2n+1}(x)+1} \leq -\frac{p_{2n+1}(x)}{p_{2n+1}(x)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (32) and (33), using (30), the double inequality (31) follows for values $x \geq k+1$. ■

Theorem 4.7 *For fixed even number $k = 2n \in \mathbf{N}$ and values $x \geq k+1$ the following double inequality is true:*

$$(34) \quad r_k(x) < \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{\Gamma(x+2)} \leq \frac{p_k(x)}{p_k(x)-1} \cdot r_k(x),$$

while the equality is true for $x = k+1$.

Proof. Based on lemma 4.3, using theorem 3.3, the following inequality is true:

$$(35) \quad \operatorname{Re} A(x) \geq g_{2n}(x),$$

for values $x \in \mathbf{E}_{k-2}$. On the other hand, based on (28), for values $x \in \mathbf{E}_{k-1}$ we can conclude:

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{g_{2n}(x)} &= 1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n)}{g_{2n}(x)} = 1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n)}{\Gamma(x-2n+1)(p_{2n}(x)-1)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x-2n)/\Gamma(x-2n+1)}{p_{2n}(x)-1} \leq \frac{p_{2n}(x)}{p_{2n}(x)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (35) and (36), using (30), the double inequality (34) follows for values $x \geq k+1$. ■

Corollary 4.8 *For fixed number $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and values $x \geq k+1$ the following double inequality is true:*

$$(37) \quad r_k(x) < (-1)^k \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{\Gamma(x+2)} \leq \frac{p_k(x)}{p_k(x) - (-1)^k} \cdot r_k(x),$$

while the equality is true for $x = k+1$.

Corollary 4.9 *On the basis of theorems 4.6 and 4.7 we can conclude:*

$$(38) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{\Gamma(x+2)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} A(x)}{\Gamma(x+1)} = 1.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] И. С. ГРАДШТЕЙН, И. М. РЫЖИК: *Таблицы интегралов, сумм, рядов и произведений*, Москва 1971.
- [2] Đ. KUREPA: *Left factorial in complex domain*, *Mathematica Balkanica* **3** (1973), 297-307.
- [3] R. K. GUY: *Unsolved problems in number theory*, Springer-Verlag, second edition 1994. (first edition 1981.)
- [4] A. PETOJEVIĆ: *The function ${}_vM_m(s; a, z)$ and some well-known sequences*, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, Vol. **5** (2002), Article 02.16. (<http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/JIS/>)
- [5] B. J. MALEŠEVIĆ: *Some inequalities for Kurepa's function*, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Volume **5**, Issue 4, Article 84, 2004. (<http://jipam.vu.edu.au/>)
- [6] N.J.A.SLOANE: *The-On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>)

University of Belgrade,
Faculty of Electrical Engineering,
P.O.Box 35-54, 11120 Belgrade,
Serbia & Montenegro
malesevic@etf.bg.ac.yu

(Received October 20, 2004)